

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/648,184	08/25/2003	Amlan Datta	BALDS2.37AUS1	5153
20995	7590 10/07/2005		EXAM	INER
	IARTENS OLSON &	LE, HOA T		
2040 MAIN S FOURTEENT			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
IRVINE, CA			1773	

DATE MAILED: 10/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\	. ~			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/648,184	DATTA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		H. T. Le	1773			
7 Period for R	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address			
WHICHE - Extension after SIX - If NO peri - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In its form the mailing date of the communication, and for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, received by the Office later than three months after the mailing atent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirg  11 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed  the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ R€	esponsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ju	<u>ıly 2005</u> .				
2a)⊠ Th	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
clo	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition	of Claims					
4)⊠ Cla	aim(s) <u>1-14,17-24 and 27-33</u> is/are pending i	n the application.				
4a)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
·	aim(s) is/are allowed.	•				
<u> </u>	s)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14,17-24 and 27-33</u> is/are rejected.					
·	aim(s) is/are objected to.	· alactian raquirament				
8) <u> </u>	aim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	relection requirement.				
Application	Papers					
9)□ The	e specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
1.[	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2.[	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3.[	Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
•						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of	References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	/ (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
•	on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) o(s)/Mail Date <u>Sept. 2005</u> .	5)  Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	. – –				

Application/Control Number: 10/648,184 Page 2

Art Unit: 1773

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

## Response to Arguments

- 2. Claims 1-14, 17-22, 24 and 27-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jones et al (US 4,937,210) as applied to the rejection to claims 1-22 and 24-33 set forth in the last office action and further discussed below.
- 3. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jones et al (US 4,937,210) as set forth in the last office action and further discussed below.

The examiner notes that claim 24 is amended to add aluminosilicate particles having a pre-selected average particle size range of about 0.01 to 50 microns. At col. 3, lines 60-65, particles of aluminosilicate (formed after step (a)) are reported to be between 10 to 100 microns.

Applicants argued that the Jones patent does not teach the claim limitation of a microsphere having an alkali metal oxide content of less than 10 wt%. The process of making microsphere as taught by Jones comprises the step of washing the alkali metal hydroxide-treated hollow microspheres until the washing medium is free of alkali metal ions (col. 3, lines 1-4). Because there is substantially no alkali metal ions, no oxidation can take place to form alkali metal oxide, and thus the microspheres made by the Jones patent necessarily contains less than 10 wt% of alkali metal oxide.

Applicants argued that the microspheres taught by Jones are not concerned with the "very caustic environment", such as a cement mixture, in which the claimed microspheres are "designed to be incorporated". This is not a relevant issue because this is not an obviousness rejection. The product taught by Jones meets all the claim limitations as discussed in the last office action and herein, thus a rejection under 35 USC 102 is appropriate regardless of the application of the claimed product, which is not even being recited in the claims.

4. Applicant's arguments filed July 13, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for the reasons set forth above.

## Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/648,184

Art Unit: 1773

Page 4

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to H. T. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-1511. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00 a.m. to 6:30 p.m., Mondays to Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol Chaney can be reached on 571-272-1284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

H. T. Le

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1773